

Jacob Gemma

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@redwilson.co.uk>
Sent: 31 March 2020 16:30
To: Greenland Adam; Davies, Ian: TTS-TransHighways: RBKC
Cc: Rogers Andrew (ST)
Subject: RE: Royal Hospital Road 12/057

Hi Adam,
Great news thanks for the update. Can you please send us over the intergreens timings.

Regards

[REDACTED]
RED Wilson Associates
Mobile: [REDACTED]
Web: <https://REDwilsonassociates.co.uk>

From: Greenland Adam
Sent: 31 March 2020 16:25
To: [REDACTED]; Davies, Ian: TTS-TransHighways: RBKC
Cc: Rogers Andrew (ST)
Subject: RE: Royal Hospital Road 12/057

[REDACTED]
Apologies for the lack of e-mails over the last few weeks, we have had a lot of urgent operational work, I am sure you can understand that that has taken priority.

However, I can confirm that we have received what we needed from Engineering Services, and work has now started on finalising the Future Base and the Proposed models.

We are on track to deliver the completed models, as well as a SIR for this scheme by 1st May 2020. I will of course keep you updated on this. We have not yet run the Future Base models, but I can send you the results of this once this has been completed.

Ian,
Regarding the payment, I have made enquiries about whether we can charge for this in 2019/2020, however, we do not usually invoice until the work is complete since if not all of the budget is spent, then we will only charge for the work that has been completed. Therefore, invoicing before the work is complete is unusual.

I do hope you are both doing well.

Many thanks and kind regards,

Adam

Adam Greenland MEng
Senior Network Manager
West Team – City of Westminster and Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea
Network Performance - Delivery
🚗TRANSPORT FOR LONDON



From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@redwilson.co.uk>
Sent: 31 March 2020 16:02
To: Rogers Andrew (ST) <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Greenland Adam <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: Davies, Ian: TTS-TransHighways: RBKC <[REDACTED]@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Royal Hospital Road 12/057

Hi Andy and Adam,
I hope your both safe and well?

I appreciate the current situation is challenging at the moment but I wanted to know whether you have received timings back yet for Engineering? Also could you please send over a copy of the future base model if completed, along with the results from the previous base model so we can get a comparison of the changes.

Regards

[REDACTED]
RED Wilson Associates
Mobile: [REDACTED]
Web: <https://REDwilsonassociates.co.uk>

From: Rogers Andrew (ST) <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 February 2020 14:56
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@redwilson.co.uk>; Greenland Adam <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: Davies, Ian: TTS-TransHighways: RBKC <[REDACTED]@rbkc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Royal Hospital Road 12/057

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email. As I am sure you are aware, we are fully supportive of the aspiration to provide improved pedestrian and cycle facilities at this signal junction. As such, we have worked closely with RBKC to assist with designing and delivering the necessary improvements and are keen to see these benefits realised as soon as possible.

However, as we discussed the last week, my position is the modelling should be as accurate as possible and that includes audited traffic signal timings. The requirements for these Engineering checks was included in the quote sent out in November 2019, I apologise if the timescales for this were not clearly articulated. My reasoning for this position is as follows:

First, our involvement has not only been to help deliver Healthy Street improvements but also to assess the potential impacts on the TRLN as well as bus services. Network changes that would impact the TRLN would be notifiable under the usual TMAN process. This scheme has the potential to have such impacts which can only be determined through a robust traffic modelling assessment. This assessment would determine the requirement for a formal TMAN approval notification.

Secondly, over the last couple of years, traffic modelling forecasts have been under increased scrutiny in both RBKC and other boroughs. I would therefore struggle to justify a decision to move away from a robust modelling process.

In terms of timescales, as previously stated it is 7 weeks for audited signal timings. The traffic modelling will then take 6 weeks followed by 4 weeks to write and approve the Scheme Impact Report. I have asked Adam to commence work on the future base model to minimise these timescales as much as possible.

I am happy to discuss further.

Regards,

Andy

Andrew Rogers
Planning and Performance Manager | North West Area
Network Performance - Delivery
TRANSPORT FOR LONDON
Surface Transport | Network Management Directorate
Palestra House | 3rd floor - Zone B2/3 | 197 Blackfriars Road | London SE1 8NJ
[REDACTED] | Auto: [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)



EVERY JOURNEY MATTERS

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@redwilson.co.uk>
Sent: 12 February 2020 18:11
To: Greenland Adam <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: Davies, Ian: TTS-TransHighways: RBKC <[REDACTED]@rbkc.gov.uk>; Rogers Andrew (ST) <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: Royal Hospital Road 12/057

Hi Adam,

Thanks for your time last week I appreciate you are busy. I have been reviewing our (RBKCs) position on gaining acceptance from TfL to install much needed pedestrians crossing at the location while providing additional facilities to cyclists due to a high collision rate.

Currently we are going through a modelling production and checking process which RBKC fully support in order to understand the potential impact of these proposals on RBKC road network and how it's likely to impact our surrounding residents. The site is on the borough road network with the TLRN some 550m away. As this site isn't on the TLRN or SRN there is no duty for RBKC to go through the usual TMAN process. We are aware of possible bus routes being impacted and will propose mitigation measures where possible to minimise any impacts.

As per your previous email the timeline is now 7 weeks before proposed modelling can begin due to audited traffic signal timings being required. Given we do not need to adhere to a strict process in terms of TMAN application, can we not proceed with the proposed modelling with the un-audited timings your team have already been provided with by Engineering?

Undertaking the proposed modelling with the timings already provided in parallel to Engineering completing their Concept design would significantly cut down on programme which is highly desirable to RBKC. If it transpires that the IGs do change once the Concept design is completed RBKC can take a view based on the finalised proposed modelling what the additional impact would be. We are also still awaiting modelling programme from TfL.

I look forward to hearing from you on these urgent matters.

Regards

[REDACTED]

██████████
RED Wilson Associates
Mobile: ██████████
Web: <https://REDWilsonassociates.co.uk>

The contents of this e-mail and any attached files are confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately at postmaster@tfl.gov.uk and remove it from your system. If received in error, please do not use, disseminate, forward, print or copy this email or its content. Transport for London excludes any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached files.

Transport for London is a statutory corporation whose principal office is at 5 Endeavour Square, London, E20 1JN. Further information about Transport for London's subsidiary companies can be found on the following link: <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/about-tfl/>

Although TfL have scanned this email (including attachments) for viruses, recipients are advised to carry out their own virus check before opening any attachments, as TfL accepts no liability for any loss, or damage which may be caused by viruses.
