

Action Note from CIL Steering Group– 13th June 2017

16:00 Windsor House

Attendees: Neil Lees (**NL**), Richard Jones (**RJ**), Anna Hart (**AH**), Richard Linton (**RL**), Peter Heath (**PH**), Harriet Vincett-Wilson (**HVW**)

1. Borough CIL Tracker

NL provided update regarding borough CIL progression- no major development and the current status of the four boroughs yet adopt a BCIL can be found in the agenda (circulated 13/06).

2. Borough CIL Reviews

Southwark and Haringey have both consulted on a revised PDCS during early 2017.

3. MCIL2 PDCS Consultation Programme

RL spoke to the Press Team- their advice was to consider a 'soft launch' of the PDCS consultation after the launch of the new MTS next week. Monday 26th June is 'grid compliant' with GLA timelines.

NL highlighted the need to for all to provide the same answers/lines during any given presentations or queries, to ensure that the messages regarding MCIL2 are consistent in approach- to be agreed before CIL Collection Group (3rd July).

RJ suggested that the recent London First document as useful reference point as it shows support of Crossrail 2 and MCIL2.

Discussion over the strength of wording within the MD, relating to the Mayor being able to put money towards other transport projects. The wording of Reg.123 list was also discussed.

Action: PH to create a consultation plan, detailing what needs to be done, who needs to be contacted and by when, according to the CIL regs - by CSG next week (20th).

4. MCIL2 Programme & Next Steps

RL updated that the London Plan examination is expected to occur during September/October/November 2018- split into 3 blocks of 3 weeks with gaps in between. RL expects that the MCIL examination will occur somewhere within this 3 month period. Will try and align London Plan consultation (November 2017) with MCIL DCS.

RJ raised potential conflict of DCS consultation with Government response to CIL review.

Discussion of pros and cons of same/different examiner.

5. MCIL(1) Instalments Policy Amendments

NL pointed out that around half of boroughs have their own CIL instalments policy in place and therefore the change to MCIL instalments would be limited to only those still using MCIL instalments policy. NL also expressed concern regarding type of development and use size. RJ raised issue regarding security of collection - safer to collect at commencement.

RJ explained that in a low inflation environment, interest rates for later payments/phasing would not be an issue to small housebuilders.

Group discussed the need for some data analysis – RJ indicated that it would be useful to see data on many small housebuilders (up to 10 units) and approximate value of liable CIL. Integration of GLA data on development and MCIL payments.

Action: RL to email NL re which GLA Housing colleagues to contact for data
Action: RL/NL to coordinate data analysis, as above.

Next meeting:

Tuesday 20th June – Windsor House – Room 13R2M1