

Action Note from CIL Steering Group– 14th March 2017

16.00 Windsor House

Attendees: Richard Jones (**RJ**), Ryan Gerrish (**RG**), Neil Lees (**NL**), Julian Ware (**JW**), Anna Hart (**AH**), Peter Heath (**PH**), Harriet Vincett-Wilson (**HVW**)

1. PDCS Document-

Group discussed drafting for PDCS document and agreed on the following:

0. **Cover note** – JW
1. **Introduction** – JW/AH
2. **Crossrail 2 and MCIL 2** – JW/AH
3. **The preliminary draft charging schedule statement and relevant regulations extracts** – NL, with SG to check/add
4. **Evidence base** – JLL
5. **Conclusions** (from the Mayor's perspective, satisfaction with the proposed rates and that they would not have an impact on development viability across the capital) – GLA colleagues (?)
6. **Responding to consultation** – GLA colleagues (?)
7. **Annex 1 – Strategic Environmental Assessment** – to say that it is not needed – GLA colleagues (?)

Action: GLA to confirm details re. Growth Board on 23rd March- (see email from RL 15/3, explaining that Growth Board is not necessary).

NL highlighted the need for more policy context and funding gap information.

AH raised question regarding the overall structure of the PDCS document and whether it should reflect the structure of MCIL1 document. NL and RJ agreed that it should take a different structure, including referencing BLE, however keeping the finding focus 95% on CR2.

After discussion, group agreed that the PDCS should be separate from JLL backing report and other appendices (including Biennial Review).

Action: PH to look for London Plan references to include in documentation.

Action: JW to write Growth Board paper (no longer necessary)

PH asked if 0 rates/reliefs had been reviewed by new administration- NL explained that this had been picked up during the Biennial Review.

Action: AH/HVW to create list highlighting components of PDCS documents and who will complete each section (please find above).

2. JLL Document-

RJ explained that most changes made to the document are relating to necessary change of wording regarding having one central London zone rather than CAZ and IOD. Other minor changes need to be made however it will not be necessary recirculate.

Discussion surrounding MCIL3 chapter, NL and PH queried the status of MCIL3 proposals at MCIL2 stage. JW explained that section is only indicative but has important role for CR2 funding case.

PH concerned re table 11 and some commercial impacts (e.g. Hackney). NL flagged email dated 3/3. RJ accepted that further work needed (see page 29 comment).

Action: JLL to rewrite MCIL3 page and circulate to group prior to meeting on 21/3.

Discussion around MCIL3 residential rates, RJ suggested possibility of a non-borough dependent, single residential rate for the Central London Contribution Area.

Next CIL Steering Group: **Tuesday 21st March, 4-5pm, Room 13R2M1**, Windsor House.