

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Simon Davis [REDACTED]@rsh-p.com>  
**Sent:** 01 April 2019 12:47  
**To:** Anderson Scott; Joe White; [REDACTED]@native-land.com  
**Cc:** J11460 South Kensington Station; Tracy Meller; Nicholas Mitchell; Lucie Olivier; Charles Noblet; [REDACTED]@dp9.co.uk  
**Subject:** CWG Presentation preparation  
**Attachments:** sks-cwg-meeting-10-minutes-12-10-16.pdf; sks-cwg-meeting-10-around-station-development-architect-presentation-12-10-16.pdf; sks-cwg-meeting-10-around-station-development-presentation-12-10-16.pdf

Scott, Joe Dan

We are preparing for next week's CWG meeting. We understand that the last meeting to discuss the ASD was held on 12 October 2016 and have here attached what we believe to be the TFL and BGY presentations, and the minutes. Please do correct this as necessary. Scott- did you get any written responses from the CWG or associations after this that we should be aware of ?

With these presentations in mind a few thoughts-

1. We assume the meeting will begin in a similar way with presentation by TFL/NL or NL/TFL to set the scene and explain the process that engaged NL as JV partner and RSHP as Architects. We do not know to what degree this was covered though at your last meeting.
2. We feel it would be appropriate for TFL/NL to also explain in this overarching section how the current proposal is closely informed by the CWG brief, and is aligned in all principles, and why it has moved on. The CWG group will no doubt want to know why the proposals differ. In our presentation we can also cover a more detailed look at the evolution from BGY to our scheme.
3. We will produce a presentation which will be a version of planning meeting 1 where the heritage is carefully studied to explain the response. The question is how far to go in terms of detail. Just to the level of Planning meeting 1 (massing and land use) or to Planning Meeting 2 as broadly shown to Sophie Andreae. Our view is the former to explain the principles, while giving less detail to object to.

We can discuss this at the PTM this afternoon but would be good to agree the approach ASAP to allow us to compile the draft presentation.

Many Thanks

Simon Davis

**Associate Partner**

**Rogers Stirk Harbour + Partners**

[www.rsh-p.com](http://www.rsh-p.com)

This e-mail is confidential and may contain privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete the email and all attachments immediately. You should not copy or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person.

'Rogers Stirk Harbour + Partners' is a trading name of Rogers Stirk Harbour + Partners LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with number OC365477 whose registered office is located at 122 Leadenhall Street, London EC3V 4AB.

We are committed to protecting and safeguarding your personal data according to the General Data Protection Regulation. Our Policy provides clear information on how to handle your personal data. You can read our Privacy Policy on our website here <https://www.rsh-p.com/privacy/>

Click [here](#) to report this email as SPAM.