

**FOI**

---

**From:** FOI  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:38  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FOI Request - Hammersmith Bridge Correspondence

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request will be processed in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy.

A response will be sent to you by 23 May 2019. We publish a substantial range of information on our website on subjects including operational performance, contracts, expenditure, journey data, governance and our financial performance. This includes data which is frequently asked for in FOI requests or other public queries. Please check <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/transparency/> to see if this helps you.

We will publish anonymised versions of requests and responses on the [www.tfl.gov.uk](http://www.tfl.gov.uk) website. We will not publish your name and we will send a copy of the response to you before it is published on our website.

In the meantime, if you would like to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04  
Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**Sent:** 19 June 2019 15:20  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** RE: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; Hammersmith bridge: Weekend march; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; Re: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; Re: Hammersmith Bridge Marshalls; RE: Hammersmith Bridge; RE: Hammersmith Bridge; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; RE: Hammersmith / TfL Working Group; Hammersmith bridge: Weekend march; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 15:52  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

[Here are mine...probably a lot of duplicates in this.](#)

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

[Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.](#)  
*Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Lee Helen  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:33  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]  
**Attachments:** RE: Idling Engines outside St Paul School

Hi Gemma,

The only contact I have had with LBHF during that time period is the attached however this was about idling outside a school and I mentioned the bridge closure in my response.

Thanks,

Helen

**Helen Lee**

Head of Operations | Buses Directorate

Phone: [REDACTED] (auto [REDACTED] | Mobile: [REDACTED])

10th Floor, Zone 10R2, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ | Email: [REDACTED][tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)



EVERY JOURNEY MATTERS

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED][tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

♻️ Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Fricker Joanne  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:12  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi  
I don't have anything.  
Thanks  
Jo

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,  
We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.  
Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.  
If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.  
Many thanks

Gemma  
**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

[🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.](#)  
[Think about tomorrow, today.](#)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers  
Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:15  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]  
**Attachments:** RE: Hammersmith Bridge Marshalls

Hi Gemma

Please find attached the correspondence I have had with the borough between 9-24 April.

Thanks

Jon

**Jonathan Green**

**Investment Delivery Planning | Transport for London**

4th Floor Yellow Zone, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, Southwark, London SE1 8NJ

E: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk | T: [REDACTED] | D: [REDACTED] | M: mobile [REDACTED]



EVERY JOURNEY COUNTS

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London

Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.*

*Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** English Richard  
**Sent:** 29 April 2019 11:56  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** Hammersmith Bridge - Feasibility study

Hi Gemma,

Emails attached as requested.

Regards,

### Richard English

Senior Structures Engineer

Phone: [REDACTED] (auto [REDACTED] | Mobile: [REDACTED])

Palestra (3rd Floor - Zone G7) | 197 Blackfriars Road | London SE1 8NJ | Email: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)



---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

### Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED] | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk))

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.





## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Vidion Zoe  
**Sent:** 29 April 2019 15:36  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Again, not had any communications with H&F.  
Regards  
Zoe

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04  
Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 10:20  
**To:** Basic Duro (ST); Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Duro/Misba/Linda,  
If you could please provide me with your emails for 9-24 April or confirm that you have not had any correspondence.  
Many thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**Sent:** 19 June 2019 15:19  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 (██████████)  
**Attachments:** Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; Interim Works; RE: Hammersmith ; Pay Cert 17; RE: Interim Works; Re: Interim Works; RE: Interim Works; Re: Interim Works

---

**From:** Basic Duro (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 12:03  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 (██████████)  
[Attached](#)

---

**From:** Basic Duro (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 11:49  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 (██████████)  
Hi Gemma,  
[Attached](#)  
Regards  
Duro

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 10:20  
**To:** Basic Duro (ST); Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 (██████████)  
Hi Duro/Misba/Linda,  
If you could please provide me with your emails for 9-24 April or confirm that you have not had any correspondence.  
Many thanks

---

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 (██████████)  
Hi All,  
We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.  
Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.  
If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.  
Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: ██████████ (ext. ██████████) | E: ██████████ [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:██████████@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 15:07  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** FW: Hammersmith Bridge - emergency closure

Hi Gemma,

This is the only email I have received from LBHF re the Bridge..

100's from colleagues within TfL though 😊 I hope you don't want those..

Cheers Lin

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 11:55  
**To:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Linda,

If you could please search your inbox sent to :BHF between 9-24 April. Then just copy and paste those into an email and send them over to me. Some of them will have been archived so I won't be able to access them, if you could send those ones over to me separately – you'll need to forward each of those individually.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 11:52  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma,

How would I do that?

Cheers Lin

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 10:20  
**To:** Basic Duro (ST) ; Ahmed Misba ; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Duro/Misba/Linda,

If you could please provide me with your emails for 9-24 April or confirm that you have not had any correspondence.

Many thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04  
Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 15:10  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hiya

The only interaction I had was regarding consultation with my contacts about standing spaces for bus routes, but nothing to do with the bridge itself.

Cheers Lin

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 15:08  
**To:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Linda,

Thanks, no need to send the ones within TfL! Did you send anything to the Borough though? If so, could you please forward those to me.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 15:07  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Gemma,

This is the only email I have received from LBHF re the Bridge..

100's from colleagues within TfL though 😊 I hope you don't want those..

Cheers Lin

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 11:55  
**To:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Linda,

If you could please search your inbox sent to :BHF between 9-24 April. Then just copy and paste those into an email and send them over to me. Some of them will have been archived so I won't be able to access them, if you could send those ones over to me separately – you'll need to forward each of those individually.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Moss Linda (ST)  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 11:52  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma,

How would I do that?

Cheers Lin

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 10:20  
**To:** Basic Duro (ST) ; Ahmed Misba ; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Duro/Misba/Linda,

If you could please provide me with your emails for 9-24 April or confirm that you have not had any correspondence.

Many thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.



*Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Ahmed Misba  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 16:02  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; Hammersmith Bridge - Feasibility study; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; Accepted: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; FW: Hammersmith Bridge - urgent bridge closure meeting; FW: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: MML PO and payments; RE: MML PO and payments; MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; MML PO and payments; RE: Hammersmith Bridge project - payments; RE: Hammersmith Bridge - Stage 2 progress meeting; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting ?; RE: Hammersmith Bridge project - payments

Hi Gemma

Please find attached correspondence between LBH&F and myself between 9<sup>th</sup> to 24<sup>th</sup> April.

Best regards  
Misba

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 13:14  
**To:** Ahmed Misba  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Thanks Misba.

---

**From:** Ahmed Misba  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 13:14  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma

OK. I did not realise there were two FOI. I will sent it asap.

Misba

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 13:12  
**To:** Ahmed Misba <[REDACTED]@tube.tfl.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Misba,

Thanks for resending – that was for a different request though. 0117-1920 was for March e-mails, the request below is for 9-24 April. If you could please send me any emails from these dates.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Ahmed Misba  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 13:10  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Gemma

I believe I sent it already. Let me check and get back to you.

Misba

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 13 May 2019 11:52  
**To:** Ahmed Misba <[REDACTED]@tube.tfl.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Misba,

If you could please provide me with your emails as requested below or confirm you have not had any correspondence as yours are the only ones I'm missing now.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 08 May 2019 10:20  
**To:** Basic Duro (ST); Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Duro/Misba/Linda,

If you could please provide me with your emails for 9-24 April or confirm that you have not had any correspondence.

Many thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 26 April 2019 10:05  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); Lee Helen; Ahmed Misba; Moss Linda (ST); English Richard; Vidion Zoe; Fricker Joanne; Green Jonathan (ST)  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi All,

We've had another request regarding correspondence regarding Hammersmith Bridge – this time for 9-24 April. As previously, some of this information is likely to need exempting due to health and safety concerns, however I still need to review all of the correspondence.

Separately, I am still awaiting all of the emails on the other Bridge request (FOI-0117-1920) and have sent chasers to those I have not had a response from. Once I've had all of those I'll review them and advise further on how we can proceed as I appreciate a lot of time is being taken trying to solve the issues with the Bridge.

If you could please forward me any emails that you have had with LBHF regarding the Bridge for the new timeframe.

Many thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920.zip; FW MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting\_Redacted RED.pdf; Hammersmith Bridge - emergency closure\_Redacted RED.pdf; RE MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting (2)\_Redacted RED.pdf

Hi Garry,

Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.

Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.

If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.

I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:42  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920 (WITHHELD).zip

Hi Garry,

As per my email below, attached are the emails we are not disclosing. If you could also let the Borough know in case they get a similar request.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Garry,

Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.

Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.

If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.

I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.*

*Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Preedy Edward (ST)  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 12:49  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]

Hi Gemma, approved.  
Ed

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 12:27  
**To:** Preedy Edward (ST)  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Ed,

Complex draft for approval please. The information came from Asset Investment. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

Garry Sterritt has reviewed the attachment and will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notice/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**



## Transport for London

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 10:06  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Gemma,  
I'm content – you can remove email number 4 (FW Walking –Pedestrian Density) in the Zip file, it is about something else.  
Regards,  
Garry

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Garry,  
Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.  
Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.  
If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.  
I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.  
Thanks

Gemma  
**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

*🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers  
Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Hill Lee  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 13:51  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Thanks, looks fine to me

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 13:22  
**To:** Hill Lee  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Lee,

Complex draft for approval please. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response has been approved by Ed Preedy for David Hughes' office.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London

Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38.

We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notices/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**

**Senior FOI Case Officer**

**FOI Case Management Team**

**General Counsel**

**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Keillor Danny  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 14:38  
**To:** Jacob Gemma; +Surface&EnvironmentPressDesk  
**Cc:** FOI- TfL Press  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Thanks Gemma. All good.

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 14:05  
**To:** +Surface&EnvironmentPressDesk  
**Cc:** FOI- TfL Press  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

Complex draft for approval please. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response has been approved by Ed Preedy for David Hughes' office.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38.

We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge.

Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notices/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**

**Senior FOI Case Officer**

**FOI Case Management Team**

**General Counsel**

**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## FOI

---

**Sent:** 16 May 2019 12:23  
**Subject:** FOI Request - Hammersmith Bridge Correspondence  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920.zip; Your Right to Appeal.pdf

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notices/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Bevins Richard  
**Sent:** 17 May 2019 09:08  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** Re: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma

Thanks, that's fine then.

Richard

Sent from my iPad

On 17 May 2019, at 08:48, Jacob Gemma < [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk > wrote:

Good morning Richard,  
Surface have confirmed that they're happy to disclose the attachment.  
Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Bevins Richard  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 16:26  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma

Thanks, the draft is fine by me. On the attachments, is the team in Surface OK with us disclosing the pdf called 'FW Hammersmith Bridge – Additional BD79 site works (attachment).. site works [etc]'? There's some paragraph headings (in blue) which could be taken to identify some parts of the Bridge that need work (ie are vulnerable).

Richard

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 15:00  
**To:** Bevins Richard  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Richard,

Complex draft for approval please. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response has been approved by Ed Preedy for David Hughes' office and the Press Office.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge. Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions. The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notice/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption. If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



# Interim Measures Progress Report No.2

---

<b>Project:</b>	Hammersmith Bridge Strengthening and Refurbishment		
<b>Our reference:</b>	383488/Weekly Report 2	<b>Your reference:</b>	
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Chris Whitwell	<b>Date:</b>	05/04/2019
<b>Approved by:</b>	Tim Abbott	<b>Checked by:</b>	John Schmidt
<b>Subject:</b>	BD79 Interim Measures – Ongoing Site Inspections/Investigations		

---

## 1 Weekly progress report (ending 05/04/2019)

This is a weekly progress report for the ongoing BD79 interim measures.

Works commenced on site on 01/04/2019.

Sub-Contractors on site

- FM Conway to provide, welfare, localised pedestrian management and general civils related assistance, Conway team remained on site until Friday as could not be redeployed at short notice.
- Apollo Cradles to install gantries to all three spans of the structure, this work was completed ahead of schedule to ensure all was secure and stowed away ready for the Boat Race on Sunday 7/04/2019.
- PRONTO safety boat could not be off hired and remained for the week.
- MM Site Representative spent Friday (off site) sourcing a working platform for insertion into the gantry which Conway could not source.

### 1.1 Deviation Saddles - Cast-Iron Chain Pedestals

No works planned for last week, works to start on 08/04/2019.

### 1.2 Stiffening Girders and Hangers

FM Conway staff were utilised to clean up the store area and move items around to enable testing to be undertaken week commencing 15/04/2019.

### 1.3 Towers – connections to longitudinal bracing members – Refined Analysis

The refined analysis for the towers was complete and report submitted to client for review 05/04/2019.

### 1.4 Site Health and Safety

No incidents, accidents or near misses reported during the week.

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it.

## **1.5 BD79 Management and Communication Plan**

Drafting of plan commenced, review and checking planned for early next week with issue of draft for review and comment week commencing 15/04/2019.

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 17 May 2019 08:44  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Yes, that's okay to go out

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 17 May 2019 08:42  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Garry,

I've just been asked about the attached doc, can you confirm that you're happy for this to go out? I didn't think it went into much detail but it's been noted that some paragraph headings (in blue) could be taken to identify some parts of the Bridge that need work (ie are vulnerable). If you agree then I can just take it out under the same exemption we're using for the rest of the docs.

Thanks  
Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 10:06  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Gemma,

I'm content – you can remove email number 4 (FW Walking –Pedestrian Density) in the Zip file, it is about something else.

Regards,  
Garry

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Garry,

Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.

Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.

If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.

I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** McKinnon James  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 14:36  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam; Birtill Oliver (ST); Lynskey Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Great stuff,

Fine to go from my point of view then

James

### James Mckinnon

#### Public Affairs & External Relations (Surface Transport)

**Mail:** Zone 11R4, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ

**Phone:** [REDACTED] (internal: [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] (mobile)

**Email:** [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)



---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 14:30  
**To:** McKinnon James  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam; Birtill Oliver (ST); Lynskey Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Danny approved this one.

---

**From:** McKinnon James  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 14:09  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam; Birtill Oliver (ST); Lynskey Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi Gemma,

Who did this go to in the press office? We have flagged with Danny Keillor and are waiting for him to get back to us as he's very close to the Hammersmith stuff.

James

### James Mckinnon

#### Public Affairs & External Relations (Surface Transport)

**Mail:** Zone 11R4, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ

**Phone:** [REDACTED] (internal: [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] (mobile)

**Email:** [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)



---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 13:44  
**To:** McKinnon James  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam; Birtill Oliver (ST); Lynskey Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Well spotted James. The [REDACTED] response has already gone out. I'll check with Garry Sterritt, if he's happy for it to remain in I'll un-redact it from this response. If he wants it taken out I'll make sure the redaction is made to the published response.

Were there any other amendments you wanted or is this one good to go now once the query's resolved?

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** McKinnon James  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 13:28  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam; Birtill Oliver (ST); Lynskey Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Gemma,

I've spotted a discrepancy in this batch of emails.

In the last FOI-0117-1920 ([REDACTED]) the email 'Hammersmith Bridge, emergency closure' sent 10<sup>th</sup> April at 17:36, included the line [REDACTED]

In this batch FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED]) the same email has that line redacted.

When I read the first batch, I didn't think it was ideal to be sharing this – but in terms of a threat, the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Basically, its not like the drawing and pictures which have been requested but denied as they would constitute a significant security risk in the wrong hands.

Has the earlier batch gone back (to [REDACTED]) For consistency, I imagine they are either both redacted or none

Thanks

James

**James McKinnon**

**Public Affairs & External Relations (Surface Transport)**

Mail: Zone 11R4, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ

Phone: [REDACTED] (internal: [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] (mobile)

Email: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 17 May 2019 09:24  
**To:** Birtill Oliver (ST); McKinnon James; Lynskey Jane  
**Cc:** Nichols Liam  
**Subject:** FW: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

Complex draft for approval please. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response has been approved by Ed Preedy for David Hughes' office and the Press Office.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:tfl.gov.uk)

*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.*

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notice/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Alizadeh Anvar: H&F [REDACTED]@lbhf.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 10 April 2019 17:36  
**To:** Sterritt Garry; Basic Duro (ST); David Crawley; Moss Linda (ST)  
**Cc:** Hawthorn Ian: H&F; Moodley Nicky: H&F  
**Subject:** Hammersmith Bridge - emergency closure

Hi all,

I am just returning from site and Mott MacDonald's inspection Team have found [REDACTED]

Nicky and I arranging the bridge closure which will be in place shortly. LBHF's comms team and Richmond have been informed.

I'll arrange an urgent meeting tomorrow to discuss.

Anvar

Anvar Alizadeh  
Highway Structures Manager

Transport & Highways  
London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham  
Tel: [REDACTED]  
Mobile: [REDACTED]  
e-mail: [REDACTED]@lbhf.gov.uk  
Web: [www.lbhf.gov.uk](http://www.lbhf.gov.uk)

---

Do it online at [www.lbhf.gov.uk](http://www.lbhf.gov.uk)

Help us keep your council tax bill down and protect spending on vital public services - use our website to find information, view your account, make payments, apply for services and report problems.

New - create an account - Want to manage your council tax, benefits claim or parking permits online? Create an account now at [www.lbhf.gov.uk/myaccount](http://www.lbhf.gov.uk/myaccount)

---

If you have received this email in error, please delete it and tell the sender as soon as possible. You should not disclose the contents to any other person or take copies.

All emails you send over the internet are not secure unless they have been encrypted. For further details, please see: [www.getsafeonline.org/protecting-yourself](http://www.getsafeonline.org/protecting-yourself)

---

Click [here](#) to report this email as SPAM.

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 14:39  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

[Redacted please](#)

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 20 May 2019 14:35  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
Hi Garry,

We've just got another query on the Hammersmith Bridge e-mails. In the attached I redacted the line [REDACTED] from the first paragraph. However, this had not been redacted in the other request we'd had for correspondence. Are you happy for this line to stay in or would you like it redacted>  
The earlier response has gone out but I can make sure it's redacted from the published response.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 22 May 2019 11:03  
**To:** 'Information Governance'  
**Subject:** Hammersmith Bridge FOIs  
**Attachments:** FOI Request - Wardens on Hammersmith Bridge

Hi All,

I've just been told that you wanted to see all Hammersmith Bridge FOIs, this one went out earlier today so I said I'd send it to you for your info.

FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] ) is my other request about Hammersmith Bridge that's due tomorrow, I sent the draft through to you last week.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](http://tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.  
Think about tomorrow, today.

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Information Governance <Information-Governance@london.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 23 May 2019 11:55  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** RE: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Good morning Gemma  
Fine & thanks for letting us have sight  
We shared with GLA Transport team & GLA press office also  
R

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 12:28  
**To:** Information Governance  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

You asked to review this response. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response is currently with the Director for approval but I wanted you to have time to review it.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

*Think about tomorrow, today.*

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.



The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notice/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**  
[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.



\*\*\*\*\*

The contents of this e-mail and any attached files are confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately at [postmaster@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:postmaster@tfl.gov.uk) and remove it from your system. If received in error, please do not use, disseminate, forward, print or copy this email or its content. Transport for London excludes any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached files.

Transport for London is a statutory corporation whose principal office is at 55 Broadway, London, SW1H 0DB. Further information about Transport for London's subsidiary companies can be found on the following link: <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/about-tfl/>

Although TfL have scanned this email (including attachments) for viruses, recipients are advised to carry out their own virus check before opening any attachments, as TfL accepts no liability for any loss, or damage which may be caused by viruses.

\*\*\*\*\*

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

#LondonIsOpen

**GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:**

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 23 May 2019 11:33  
**To:** 'Information Governance'  
**Subject:** FW: Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920.zip

Hi All,

I chased this one yesterday as it's due today, can you please confirm that you're happy with the response? It's been approved by everyone here now.

Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 12:28  
**To:** 'Information Governance'  
**Subject:** Complex Draft - Due 23/5 - FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )

Hi All,

You asked to review this response. As with the previous request for correspondence about the bridge, we're applying an exemption to the majority of the correspondence we've had with the Borough, however we've identified the attached for disclosure.

We will let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose the attached. They are also aware of the correspondence we have withheld in case they get a similar request.

The response is currently with the Director for approval but I wanted you to have time to review it.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London

Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

*Think about tomorrow, today.*

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38.

We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge.

Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour

is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notices/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**

**Senior FOI Case Officer**

**FOI Case Management Team**

**General Counsel**

**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## FOI

---

**From:** FOI  
**Sent:** 23 May 2019 13:38  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FOI Request - Hammersmith Bridge Correspondence  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920.zip; Your Right to Appeal.pdf

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Our Ref: FOI-0254-1920

Thank you for your request received on 24 April 2019 asking for correspondence we have had with the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham regarding Hammersmith Bridge.

Your request has been considered in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and our information access policy. I can confirm we do hold the information you require.

Please see the attached correspondence for the period requested. In accordance with the FOI Act, we are not obliged to supply some of the correspondence we have had with the Borough as it is subject to a statutory exemption to the right of access to information under sections 24 and 38. We consider that section 24(1) applies in order to safeguard national security and section 38(1)(a) and (b) applies as disclosure would be likely to endanger the health and safety of individuals.

In this instance the exemptions have been applied as disclosure of the information you have requested could assist persons wishing to disrupt London's transport infrastructure by placing into the public domain information which would otherwise not be available via any accessible means.

The current threat level in the UK remains severe, and there have been attacks and suspicious devices found recently at transport hubs, including bridges, in London. The detail provided in some of the correspondence could be used by persons with nefarious intentions.

The correspondence contains very detailed descriptions of the bridge, structural drawings, and photographs taken during inspections which provide significant detail as to the condition, material composition, structure, size and potential strengths and weaknesses that exist on each bridge. Provision of this information would reduce the opportunity for intervention as suspicious behaviour is more likely to be detected and apprehended if an individual cannot access information about security arrangements and structural information via the internet and instead has to physically visit a site in order to view and assess the security arrangements.

The Information Commissioner's Office have issued a Decision Notice regarding the application of sections 24 and 38 to withhold information. Whilst the information requested in this case is different to the information you have requested we believe the same arguments can be applied:

<https://ico.org.uk/media/action-weve-taken/decision-notice/2017/2013536/fs50633090.pdf>

The use of these exemptions are subject to an assessment of the public interest in relation to the disclosure of the information concerned. We recognise the need for openness and transparency by public authorities, and acknowledge that there is some public interest in this information from a public safety perspective. However, disclosure of this information to you has to be regarded as a disclosure to 'the public at large'. This information could potentially be obtained and utilised by individuals who may wish to use this information to cause disruption or harm to London's transport

infrastructure. In this instance, minimising the risk to transport infrastructure and protecting the welfare of members of the general public outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

Additionally, in accordance with our obligations under Data Protection legislation some information has been withheld from the attached correspondence, as required by section 40(2) of the FOI Act. This is because disclosure of this personal data would be a breach of the legislation, specifically the first principle of Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation which requires all processing of personal data to be fair and lawful. It would not be fair to disclose this personal information when the individuals have no expectation it would be disclosed and TfL has not satisfied one of the conditions which would make the processing 'fair'.

This exemption to the right of access to information is an absolute exemption and not subject to an assessment of whether the public interest favours use of the exemption.

If this is not the information you are looking for, or if you are unable to access it for some reason, please feel free to contact me.

Please see the attached information sheet for details of your right to appeal.

Yours sincerely

**Gemma Jacob**  
**Senior FOI Case Officer**  
**FOI Case Management Team**  
**General Counsel**  
**Transport for London**

[foi@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:foi@tfl.gov.uk)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 14 June 2019 09:46  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920 (WITHHELD).zip

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 10:14  
**To:** Hawthorn Ian: H&F  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
Ian – emails we withheld under FOI

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:42  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** RE: FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
Hi Garry,

As per my email below, attached are the emails we are not disclosing. If you could also let the Borough know in case they get a similar request.  
Thanks

Gemma

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ( [REDACTED] )  
Hi Garry,

Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.

Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.

If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.

I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**  
FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD  
T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

🌱 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Think about tomorrow, today.

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]

## Jacob Gemma

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 14 June 2019 09:46  
**To:** Jacob Gemma  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 [REDACTED]  
**Attachments:** FOI-0254-1920.zip; FW MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting\_Redacted RED.pdf; Hammersmith Bridge - emergency closure\_Redacted RED.pdf; RE MML's Early Warning 16 ; Risk Mitigation Meeting (2)\_Redacted RED.pdf

---

**From:** Sterritt Garry  
**Sent:** 16 May 2019 10:08  
**To:** Hawthorn Ian: H&F  
**Subject:** FW: FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])  
[FYI – details of redacted emails we released under FOI.](#)

---

**From:** Jacob Gemma  
**Sent:** 15 May 2019 12:40  
**To:** Sterritt Garry  
**Subject:** FOI-0254-1920 ([REDACTED])

Hi Garry,

Hope you're well. I've reviewed all of the correspondence for the below request and identified the attached correspondence that is captured by the request.

Again, as with the other request we had for correspondence, the majority of it is setting up meetings. I've removed anything that contains detail about the structure of the bridge and possible vulnerable areas. I've redacted the contact details from the attached zip file, the other three emails have had additional redactions made to them because they contained some reference to the weaker parts of the bridge that could be used by people wishing to cause harm.

If you could please review the correspondence and advise if there are any further redactions that need to be made. Please also let the Borough know that we are planning to disclose these emails.

I will send you through a separate e-mail with the correspondence that we are withholding in its entirety due to safety concerns.

Thanks

Gemma

**Gemma Jacob | Senior FOI Case Officer**

FOI Case Management Team | Transport for London  
Room 291, Floor 2, 55 Broadway, London SW1H 0BD

T: [REDACTED] (ext. [REDACTED]) | E: [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)

[Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.](#)

[Think about tomorrow, today.](#)

24/04

Emailed correspondence between TFL officers and H&F officers

Please release all emailed correspondence between relevant TFL officers (involved in the overseeing of the route over Hammersmith Bridge) and Hammersmith and Fulham council between the following dates - April 9 2019 and April 24th 2019.

[REDACTED]