

Response ID ANON-QPYE-1ZTB-Z

Submitted to **Have your say on Private Hire Operator Licence Fees**

Submitted on **2017-06-16 16:33:26**

Our proposals

1 Do you agree with our proposal to change the existing structure to reflect the size of private hire operators?

No

2 Do you agree with the proposed tiers to be used to allocate fees?

No

3 Do you agree that operators in the three largest tiers should be able to pay the grant of licence fee in annual instalments?

No

4 Do you have any further comments?

Comments:

The whole shape and design of this consultation is flawed. The questions are too simplistic and have one purpose to destroy the existing operators. These charges will inflict bankruptcy as a consequence.

Where an operation of 100 cars is priced 7 times higher yet the addition of 1 driver 101 car operation results in a charge 60 times higher. The Operators within this industry are very compliant. The three Taxi Operators will pay nothing even though 1300 drivers some of whom were working for these Operators were found not to have a second MOT, clearly a public safety matter. Truly if more funds were required to fund more enforcement the Taxi trade should pay for it.

The Mayor has stated in his "Plan" to support the taxi trade but destroying small to medium Private Hire operations is not the way to do this. Private hire provides support to many drivers whilst they train to obtain Green or Yellow badge. There has been no engagement with the trade , which makes this consultation poor and subject to Judicial Review .

About you

5 What is your name?

Name:

The Private Hire Board

6 What is your email address?

Email:

[REDACTED]

7 Please provide us with your postcode?

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

8 Are you responding as:

A representative of an organisation

Other:

9 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:

Organisation:

The Private Hire Board

10 How did you find out about this consultation?

Received an email from TfL

Other:

11 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?

Very poor

Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:

This note worthy as a serious consultation. Three questions to try and solve a complex situation that will effect thousands of people is very poor . Considering six other pages ask about gender etc.

Equality Monitoring

12 Gender:

Prefer not to say

13 Ethnic Group:

Prefer not to say

14 Age:

Prefer not to say

15 Sexual Orientation:

Prefer not to say

16 Faith:

Prefer not to say

17 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months? (Please include problems related to old age)

Prefer not to say