

Action Note from CIL Steering Group – 13th March 2018

16:00, 55 Broadway

Attendees: Anna Hart (AH), Peter Heath (PH), Darren Richards (DR), Richard Jones (RJ), Ryan Gerrish (RG), Neil Lees (NL), Alice Bennett (AB)

1. Actions from previous meeting (27/02)

Action: PH/NL to reference indexation and MCIL2 rate issues in GLA/TfL response to Bromley PDCS (PDCS closes 09/03) – Completed 09/03

Action: JLL to complete work on Westminster prudence argument, VNEB viability in Wandsworth, Waterloo and E&C, addressing wider issues in Wandsworth (by 12/03) – ongoing

Action: JLL to complete work stream. Westminster prudence argument, VNEB viability in Wandsworth, Waterloo and E&C, addressing wider issues in Wandsworth, review objections re: Elephant and Castle (work to be shared with CIL SG well before next meeting).

Action: PH to update report to Jules Pipe with agreed EiP dates – Completed 28/02

Action: GLA colleagues to formalise booking of The Chamber for 10-12th September and for additional date of 14th September – Completed

Action: JW to find out who is responsible for procurement in GLA area. – Superseded by below action

Action: GLA to progress work on procurement document. – Completed

Action: NL to review and confirm proposed procurement document is okay (completed)

Action: NL to provide IPE with an update on procurement progress (completed 05/03).

Action: JLL to forward Three Dragons meeting invite to CIL SG (completed 01/03).

Action: AB to cancel next week's CIL SG meeting (completed 01/03).

Action: GLA to consider who will be GLA representative at EiP. – Completed, DR and PH will be.

2. MCIL2 DCS

Meeting with Howard Dawber from Canary Wharf Group (05/03): Attended by AH and JW. HD proposed that instead of increasing MCIL2 charging rates in IOD, the current CAZ boundary could be extended northwards to the Angel. HD suggested that doing this would produce similar revenue and would be fairer as the transport benefits from Crossrail2 on areas northwards of CAZ is greater than in Canary Wharf. AH/JW explained the boundary is based on viability not transport benefits and welcomed them to send this proposed CAZ boundary which could be considered for MCIL3.

DM meeting: Attended by NL and DR. Well received, DM has EiP date in diary and will do a 10 minute opening.

Next steps: All to review PH 'MCIL2 DCS Consultation Responses Issues'.

Action: All to review 'MCIL2 DCS Consultation Responses Issues' and provide PH with feedback (well before next meeting).

Action: AB to ensure 'MCIL2 DCS Consultation Responses Issues' is the main item of business for next CIL SG meeting agenda.

3. MHCLG: NPPF and Developer contributions consultations

Developer contributions consultation: NL to lead on TfL response and London Wide CCG response. London Wide response involves bringing together all 35 collecting authorities (CCG) on 10th April for a workshop to create a general response. GLA and TfL responses to be consistent with one another.

Action: AH to provide Scott (?) with John Wachter (GLA) contact details.

4. GLA MCIL Webpage

Significant review required ahead of examination to ensure all details are up to date, AB reviewing.

Action: AB to share proposed updated GLA MCIL webpage and include in agenda for next meeting.

5. AOB

AH; statement on MCIL2. Group agreed this is dependent on the outcome of the independent review of CR2 funding and perhaps a statement will be made by June.

Next CIL Steering Group Meeting: Tuesday 3rd April 2018, 16:00–17:00, 55 Broadway