

**From:** Parker John

**Sent:** 04 March 2013 08:57

**To:** [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; 'Fiona Wilson'; 'Yoko Tanaka'

**Subject:** RE: Arboricultural Report NLE-040313-JP-ARB

Dear all,

Please find attached the latest (final?) version of the NLE Arboricultural Report. As I have said, the contents have been discussed with the LB Lambeth Tree Officers and they seemed very supportive (subject to a suitable replanting programme) so I would hope that this would meet with little objection from them during consultation.

Please let me know if any further amendments are required.

Regards,

John

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 21 February 2013 11:58

**To:** Parker John; Povey Deryck; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Fiona Wilson; 'Yoko Tanaka'

**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

John,

Thanks for your email. We had been talking about referencing a lot recently, and can you just say that the worksite drawings came from the following documents (and highlight this): GRNLEB-BHD-00-XX-REP-CON-00023 - REV 02-01 & GRNLEB-HGL-TU-XX-REP-CON-00002 - 02-01

This will need to be updated, particularly as the worksites may well still change.

**Deryck/Simon**, can you advise on amending the Kennington Park worksite based on John's comments below (it may not be critical to do so)? Also, can you respond to the question about tree/hording distance?

**Nick**, can you advise John on his point regarding involving the LB Lambeth Tree specialists? I fear it may be too late in the day to show them the current landscaping strategy, but please let us know.

Regarding the fruit trees, where exactly are they? We will apparently be providing large pots to allow some of their vegetation to be transported to near where the bees will be temporarily be housed (in the north west corner of the park), and presumably we could explore the possibility of potting these flowering trees too, rather than destroying them.

I don't think you will have the final worksite drawings or answers to be able to finalise everything by the 25th, but hopefully it wont be too much later than this.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

**From:** Parker John [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 18 February 2013 12:18  
**To:** Povey Deryck; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Fiona Wilson; 'Yoko Tanaka'  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Dear all,

This is exactly what is needed.. thanks. Are there drawings like this for the other two sites (Nine Elms Station and Radcot Street) as well? Hopefully it is OK for me to just copy and paste these into the report; is there a particular source that I should be referencing when I do so? I have currently stated that all maps are *“adapted from the URS Phase 1 Habitat Survey mapping, provided in the reports in Appendix H: Ecology and Trees of the Environmental Statement Volume II.”*

The Kennington Park site drawing can be amended slightly; in my view (shared by the Lambeth Tree Officers) it would make more sense to remove T15, T16 and T17 instead of retaining them through construction. I would imagine that this might help facilitate works as well, and ultimately will allow for a better reinstatement programme. Obviously if you would prefer to retain T15 and T17 for political reasons (i.e. to demonstrate that we are retaining trees where possible) then that is fine too, although as T16 is more than half dead I really can't see any value at all in leaving it where it is.

In order to be able to detail protection requirements for the trees in Kennington Park it would be useful to know exactly how far away from the stems of the trees the hoarding is likely to be, and whether or not any excavation is required to install the hoarding. This will determine whether or not the canopies of the trees in the Oak avenue will need to be pruned (and by how much) and whether or not there is any risk to the roots of these trees.

Last week I arranged a site meeting at Kennington Park with the LB Lambeth Planning Tree Officer and the LB Lambeth Principal Tree Officer (responsible for all of the trees that we are looking to remove). Both were in favour of the proposals and I am confident that they will support the tree removals subject to guarantees that these sites will ultimately be in a better state than they are now. They were keen to be involved in the landscaping/planting proposals; is this possible? As the LB Lambeth Principal Tree Officer is the person who will ultimately be responsible for the trees that are replanted, it would make sense that he be involved in the discussions relating to species/size/position etc.

When I was on site I also noticed that several (very small) fruit trees have been planted in the dog area since my last inspection; the LB Lambeth Tree Officer confirmed that he would arrange for these to be removed ASAP so I see no value in adding them to the report.

I can confirm that the final version of the report can be sent to you for your comments prior to close of play on the 25<sup>th</sup> February, subject to me receiving all final drawings (and the information requested above) in reasonable time for me to incorporate them into the document.

Regards,

John

**From:** Povey Deryck  
**Sent:** 15 February 2013 14:59  
**To:** Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Parker John; [REDACTED]; 'Fiona Wilson'; 'Yoko

Tanaka'

**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Is this what is needed?

Deryck

**From:** Street Nicholas

**Sent:** 15 February 2013 14:45

**To:** [REDACTED]; Parker John; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; 'Fiona Wilson'; 'Yoko Tanaka'

**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Howard (and John for info) - as I think John will confirm he does not have access to Livelink and I am not clear how the report you refer to – which only deals with the 2 stations – is going to help (??). If it is necessary it would be possible to email some individual plans ?

Plans showing indicative planting at the 2 stations are being produced by JMP. These might help (Fiona – what do you think – the one for Nine Elms was tabled yesterday).

Regards

Nick

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 15 February 2013 12:22

**To:** Parker John; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; Fiona Wilson; 'Yoko Tanaka'

**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Hi John,

Do you have access to Livelink? There are some final (Simon, Deryck?) worksite drawings saved in the construction worksite reports (they are too large for me to email, so I've forwarded you the Document Transmittal Notices).

I also attach the proposed planting and landscaping plan for your perusal. I've sent this to our ecologist and townscape specialists, and hope to be able to provide some consolidated feedback to Yoko at JMP by the end of Monday (with a view to finalising the strategy later next week).

Due to the reservation of certain matters at the station sites, we have not got a planting/landscaping plan for these sites.

Revised timescales for producing updated tree report to be appended to the Environmental Statement is the 25th February, is this achievable?

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** Parker John [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]  
**Sent:** 07 February 2013 10:58  
**To:** [REDACTED]; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; Fiona Wilson  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

[REDACTED]

There are certain elements of the Arboricultural Report that I can't complete until I have the final drawings, for example checking the distance between the hoarding and the trees to be retained on each site so that I can establish for certain whether or not particular recommendations are required. It would also be very interesting for me to see the proposed landscaping/planting plan.

As soon as I receive the maps then it shouldn't take too long to complete the report but please be aware that this is now likely to take a bit longer than the initial timescale of the end of the first week in February.

Kind regards,

John

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 February 2013 21:33  
**To:** Parker John; Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; Fiona Wilson  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Hi John,

We are looking into this and will get back to you shortly. Good news about the tree officer. Im meeting with the architects tomorrow and should be able to give you an idea of what landscaping/planting is being proposed. We can then combine comments from you and our ecologist and townscape specialists, so look out for something later this week.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**From:** Parker John [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])]  
**Sent:** 05 February 2013 12:37  
**To:** Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; [REDACTED]; Fiona Wilson  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Nick,

Are the final drawings featuring the tree crown areas etc that we looked at in the meeting all completed now? If I could get them sent over then that would be great and I can incorporate them into my report.

I met with the Lambeth Planning Tree Officer last week and discussed the proposals with him; he was hugely supportive and sees the whole thing as an opportunity to improve these areas in the long term.

Thanks,

John

---

**From:** Street Nicholas  
**Sent:** 21 December 2012 16:38  
**To:** Parker John; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; [REDACTED] 'Fiona Wilson'  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

John

I confirm this sounds right (as per your email).

I had a look at the plan for the Battersea site and all the trees are on the opposite side of the road so should be unaffected.

I said I would let you know our main contacts at Lambeth in the planning and transport planning depts..:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Regards  
Nick

---

**From:** Parker John  
**Sent:** 20 December 2012 12:55  
**To:** Street Nicholas; [REDACTED]; Povey Deryck; [REDACTED]; 'Fiona Wilson'  
**Subject:** RE: NLE - Arboricultural Report

Dear all,

Just to confirm the decisions taken at the meeting yesterday, my understanding is as follows:

**Harmsworth Street.**  
Remove site from report altogether.

**Radcot Street.**  
T3, T4 to be removed.  
T5, T6 to be retained, pruned and protected.

**Kennington Green.**

T4, T10, T11 to be retained.  
Everything else to go.

**Kennington Park.**

T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T21 to retain. All outside influence of site.  
T15 and T17 within site to be lifted, protected and retained. (*Caveat to be made: if dead at end of project then they will be replaced in agreement with the TO*).  
Everything else to be removed.

**Nine Elms Lane.**

T1, T2, T3, T5, T6, T7, T8 to be removed. (*These may be already included on Sainsbury's Planning Application to be removed anyway*).

Generally speaking, mitigation is to be decided for each individual site and will be agreed with the Local Authority. To this end I will have a chat with the Lambeth Planning Tree Officer prior to submission of the report to establish his expectations and explain the necessity for the removals. I am awaiting digital copies of the plans we looked at yesterday and will incorporate them into the final report, which will be ready prior to the end of the first week in February (Feb 9<sup>th</sup> at the latest).

Please let me know if this sounds right.

Regards,

John

**John Parker** | Transport for London  
Arboriculture & Landscape Manager (Central)  
120 Bow Common Lane, E3 4BH  
[REDACTED]