Transport for London
London Overground



Inspection for Assessment Report

East London Line

Bartlett tunnel



Examination Date:

19 August 2009

Report Ref:

ELM-TEC-206-04-09-0315 Issu

Issue 01

RevisionDescription01First issue

ExaminerCheckedApprovedDate2009



[Blank Page]



1 Synopsis

1.1 Inspection

A reconnaissance survey was carried out on 26 March 2009 and a full survey was carried out by Mott MacDonald on 19 August 2009. There were no observed defects considered to have any immediate safety implications.

1.2 Structure information

The tunnel consists of a vertical, hexagonal shaft, approximately 7.5m in diameter and 11m deep and a 130m long cast iron lined tunnel approximately 4m in diameter. The shaft was constructed using slurry trench walls, the thickness of which is not known. The tunnel has no invert slab.

1.3 Conclusions

The tunnel and shaft are in reasonable condition, though the supporting framework to the shaft capping slab shows signs of deterioration.

2 Structure information

Asset Information

| Asset Label | TT418 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Asset Name | Bartlett (Experimental) Tunnel |
| Asset Type | Tube tunnel |
| Asset Group | Tunnel |
| NR Structure Category | E |
| Asset Owner | London Overground |
| Maintenance Authority | London Overground |
| Sensitive Structure | No |

Location Information

| Route | East London Line |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Line | Northbound and Southbound |
| ELR Ref | ELL |
| ELL LCS Code | M166 |
| LCS Descriptor | Surrey Quays to New Cross |
| Project Start Chainage | N/A |
| Project Finish Chainage | N/A |
| Metreage within LCS Code | N/A |
| OS Reference (start) | TQ 354 794 |
| OS Reference (finish) | TQ 354 794 |
| Local Authority | LB Lewisham |



Technical Information

| Asset description: | Cast Iron lined tunnel 12'7" (3.8m) diameter, approximately 130m long. Tunnel invert is approximately 12m below ground level at start |
|--------------------|---|
| Items crossed: | N/A |
| Items carried: | Passes under East London Line at far end of tunnel |
| Shaft construction | 6 No slurry trench walls forming a hexagon. It is not known what the thickness of the concrete walls is |

Examination Information

| Examination Date | (s) | 19 / 08 / 09 |
|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Examiner(s) | | B Stewart |
| Company | | Mott MacDonald Ltd |
| Date of previous Examinations (where known) | Detailed Exam | No record |
| | Visual Exam | 20/11/01 |
| | Addit'nl Exam | n/a |
| Current | Detailed Exam | 1 |
| Interval (where known) | Visual Exam | Not known |
| | Addit'nl Exam | n/a |

Assessment Information

| Date of assessment | Not known |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Assessment Standard | Not known |
| Result | Not known |
| Notes and extent of any failure | Not known |

Access and H&S Issues / Requirements

| Type of access provided for examination | Entry to overall site compound. Entry to fenced-off top of shaft. Unlocking padlocked cover to shaft Existing staircase down shaft Water in tunnel pumped out Confined space equipment |
|---|---|
| Access provider | BBCJV |
| Restricted access (e.g. obstructions)? (if so, provide details) | Base of shaft not cleaned out, still some debris preventing inspection of base slab. Some water/silt remaining in tunnel invert |
| Any change in obstructions from previous examination? (<i>if so, provide details</i>) | Tunnel now pumped out, providing access along tunnel |



| Specialist PPE or other equipment required? (<i>if so, provide details</i>) | Confined space equipment necessary. Lighting |
|---|---|
| Details of notable site specific risks | Confined space. Water in tunnel invert requires pumping out prior to inspection of tunnel |
| Other relevant access / H&S issues | None |



3 Inspection details

An inspection of the internal faces of the tunnel and shaft was undertaken by Mott MacDonald on 19 August 2009.

The inspection of all visible components was carried out from the tunnel invert, the shaft invert and from the access ladder in the shaft. The inspection was to determine the condition of the components and a photographic record was produced for the inspection records.

A survey to establish the tunnel's alignment was due to be carried out shortly after the inspection.

3.1 Details of inspection

The tunnel and shaft are in reasonable condition, though the supporting framework to the shaft capping slab shows signs of deterioration.



Defects and observations are recorded in the table below and photographically in Appendix B.

| Bartlett Experimental Tunnel TT418 | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Element | Description of Defects |
| Capping slab to shaft | The concrete capping slab is in a good condition. The upper surface is covered in a thin layer of soil with some vegetation. There is some cracking that has allowed root penetration through the slab. (See Figure 1 and Figure 2) |
| | Over approximately ³ / ₄ of the area of the slab it is supported on a timber deck supported by a steel grillage. The timbers are approximately 300mm thick, but they show extensive signs of rotting, especially at the exposed ends next to the access stairs. (See Figure 3 and Figure 4) |
| | The steel grillage members have extensive surface corrosion but there appears to be little section loss. |
| Walls to shaft | The walls were cast in slurry trenches, leaving the rough faces that are visible in the shaft. Some of the joints between the walls were not fully concreted, leaving partial gaps for some of the thickness. There are some signs of water leakage through these joints. (See Figure 5 to Figure 7) |
| Base slab to shaft | The concrete base slab was covered with a layer of mud and debris restricting inspection. (See Figure 8) |
| Access stairs in shaft | The access stairs consist of three flights and two landings of open galvanised steelwork. The steelwork is in good condition, though the fastening bolts into the concrete walls are showing some signs of corrosion. (See Figure 9) |
| Cast iron lining segments | The dimensions of the segments were confirmed as those shown on Drawing 448/3 (in Appendix C). The segment width was 20" (508mm), the radial and circumferential flanges were 1" (25mm) thick and 3" (76mm) deep. (See Figure 10 to Figure 15) |
| | There was no invert slab in the tunnel. |
| | Before pumping out, the tunnel contained water to a depth of approximately 0.75m at the shaft, increasing to a depth of 1.5m at the far end. The areas under water had an accumulation of silt on them but less corrosion than those areas just above the water line. (See Figure 16 and Figure 17) |
| | Much of the protective coating on the segments had failed, leading to widespread surface corrosion, though no significant loss of section was observed. |



| Bartlett Experimental Tunnel TT418 | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | The exposed surfaces of the lining bolts were corroded. There was no evidence of any loss of section in the bolt shanks. Some bolts in the circumferential joints were missing or mis-aligned and not tightened (see Figure 18). |
| | The end face of the tunnel consists of the cutter head of the tunnelling machine. As the machine was abandoned at the end of the drive, the face is fully retained behind the pressure bulkhead (see Figure 19). |



4 Recommendations

The tunnel and shaft should either be maintained in a condition safe enough to permit further inspections; made safe and abandoned; or an alternative use found for it. For the first two options the following actions are proposed:

- 1. The supporting steelwork and timber to the shaft capping slab need attention. The reinforcement details of the concrete capping slab are not known, so the allowable level of deterioration of the supporting structure cannot be quantified. Therefore, it is recommended that the timber elements of the capping slab supports are replaced with a more durable material, to allow for the permanently moist shaft environment. It may be possible to locally remove the timber; provide permanent, discrete supports between the steel beams and the concrete slab and then remove the remainder of the timber. The steel beams would then be repainted.
- 2. The tunnel and shaft will remain as deteriorating assets of little value. Some consideration should therefore be given to the option of filling them. This would remove the need for regular inspection and maintenance to preserve the support the tunnel provides to the overlying ground and any adjacent structures.



Appendix A Location Plans & General Arrangement







View of end of tunnel from shaft before pumping out



View of end of tunnel from shaft after pumping out



Appendix B Inspection Photographs



Figure 1 Soil and vegetation on top of shaft capping slab



Figure 2 Underside of capping slab, showing some root penetration through crack





Figure 3 View of underside of slab, showing steel grillage and timber decking



Figure 4 View of timbers and steel grillage supporting concrete slab





Figure 5 Rough surface finish on shaft walls



Figure 6 Gap in joint between wall panels





Figure 7 Signs of leakage through joints between walls



Figure 8 Base slab of shaft covered in mud and debris





Figure 9 Access stairs and landings in shaft



Figure 10 View of tunnel at shaft portal





Figure 11 View of segments at shaft portal



Figure 12 Packing between segments on circumferential joint





Figure 13 Typical segment identification marks; note segment numbering scheme, counting from portal



Figure 14 Timber packers for circumferential joints





Figure 15 View of tunnel at far end (segment No 250) including part of tunnelling machine



Figure 16 Higher levels of silting towards further end of tunnel (segment No 180)





Figure 17 Rear of abandoned tunnelling machine (segment No 230); note water level at approximately 1.5m depth



Figure 18 Mis-aligned bolts on circumferential joints





Figure 19 Pressure bulkhead of tunnelling machine retaining end face of tunnel

Mott MacDonald

Appendix C Drawing of Tunnel Lining

