

From: [TT Correspondence](#)
To: [redacted]; Catharine McEwan
Cc: [TT Correspondence](#)
Subject: FW: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL
Date: 05 June 2024 13:00:26
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.jpg](#)
[image004.jpg](#)
[4215_reply.pdf](#)

Hi both,

Please see reply attached.

Unfortunately, it looks like PLU ignored our request to cc in [redacted] and Catharine.

Thanks

[redacted]

From: [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 04 June 2024 15:24
To: [redacted] [tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi Catharine,

Yes it did. I take it that you weren't copied in then?

[@TT Correspondence](#) would you be able to ask PLU for a copy of the response that went out?

Thanks

[redacted]

[redacted]

Deputy Head of Transport (interim)
GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY
City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE

From: [redacted] [tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 04 June 2024 15:05
To: [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: Re: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [redacted]

Did the email go to the resident yesterday?

Thanks,

Catharine

Catharine McEwan

Engagement Officer: RB Kingston & LB Sutton | Local Communities & Partnerships

Mobile: [redacted] | [redacted]

Floor 11 Blue Zone, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ



From: [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 03 June 2024 15:50
To: [redacted] [tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>; [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [redacted] [tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [redacted] [london.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

That's perfect, thanks so much.

[redacted]

[REDACTED]
Deputy Head of Transport (interim)
GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY
City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 June 2024 15:41
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

In that case, do give my name as a contact, I would be the best person.

Thanks,

Catharine

Catharine McEwan

Engagement Officer: RB Kingston & LB Sutton | Local Communities & Partnerships

Mobile: [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]

Floor 11 Blue Zone, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ



From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 June 2024 15:35
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi Catherine,

Thanks for your quick email. It would be helpful if the meeting could be confirmed shortly, even if it is to take place later in the year. I have therefore tweaked the response further – I hope that's ok. She has also asked for contact details so I think she will continue to complain if we don't provide a named contact. If you're not best placed then is there someone else at TfL that I can mention so that if needed [REDACTED] can contact them and they can explain the situation to her?

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Deputy Head of Transport (interim)
GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY
City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 June 2024 15:25
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm happy for you to respond and copy me in, rather than naming me directly in the email if that's OK. I've made an amendment to the second para - just to give the borough some room, and it is unlikely to be soon (due to PEP and waiting for surveys later in the year). Hope this is OK - let me know if not.

"We can confirm that TfL are happy to attend a meeting with residents and the Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames, and we understand that this will be arranged by the borough in due course."

Thanks.

Best wishes

Catharine

Catharine McEwan

Engagement Officer: RB Kingston & LB Sutton | Local Communities & Partnerships

Mobile: [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]

Floor 11 Blue Zone, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ



From: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Sent: 03 June 2024 15:13
To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi Catherine,

As [REDACTED] has said below, we really need to get a response out today. I have therefore drafted a short response to send – see below.

Can you please let me know if you're happy with this approach or if you'd prefer to email [REDACTED] directly and copy us in?

Thanks

[REDACTED]

STARTS

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your further email about a meeting between Transport for London (TfL) and local residents to discuss the A3 and traffic impacts on residential roads. Please accept my apology for the delay in responding to you.

We can confirm that TfL is happy to attend a meeting with residents and the Royal Borough of Kingston Upon Thames, and we understand that the borough will be in touch shortly to confirm a suitable date.

In the meantime if you would like to speak to someone at TfL then please contact [REDACTED] Engagement Officer at [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>

Thank you again for your email.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Interim Deputy Head of Transport

ENDS

[REDACTED]

Deputy Head of Transport (interim)

Greater London Authority
City Hall, Kamal Chunchie Way, London, E16 1ZE

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 June 2024 14:20
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED], sorry for the delay coming back to you.

Thanks for this, that all sounds good.

Could someone please go back to the correspondent to explain that's what will happen? I'm not sure whether that should go from TfL or someone from City Hall, but could colleagues please work out the best approach and let me know?

To ward off a complaint, that would need to go today please. Sorry for the rush!

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Senior Adviser to the Mayor, Briefing

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 May 2024 16:21
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED],

Thanks for your patience on this issue, which as [REDACTED] explained is a politically sensitive one.

I've spoken again to the borough and they are happy with the line as outlined below by [REDACTED] that we're happy to join a meeting with the borough and residents, and that the meeting is for the borough to arrange.

For your info, this meeting won't happen during PEP and is unlikely to happen until later in the year. We are only involved in one aspect of the scheme - a potential banned LH turn off the A3 which we have said no to due to impacts on our network but which we are going to revisit once the borough has conducted further surveys later this year - once our now completed scheme at Tolworth roundabout has bedded in. This will be our position until those surveys are done so any meeting before that would not be particularly useful. However, that is for the borough to communicate.

For further background, the report [here](#) from Kingston Council's website goes into the history of this scheme.

Would you be able to send me a copy of the response to the resident so that I can share it with the borough officers - they can then use it to move this forward at their end.

I hope this helps - please do give me a call if you'd like to discuss further.

Thanks.

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Engagement Officer: RB Kingston & LB Sutton | Local Communities & Partnerships

Mobile: [REDACTED] | [REDACTED]

Floor 11 Blue Zone, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ



From: [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Sent: 30 May 2024 12:27
To: [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] [tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi both

Just bringing you both on the same chain as I'm not working this afternoon and in case we can keep this moving

[REDACTED] a brief update that [REDACTED] has some sensitivities to manage with RBK and given PEP the meeting is unlikely to take place imminently, but [REDACTED] is speaking to the borough today. We've agreed to try to sign off a line to go out saying that TfL happy to join a meeting with the borough and residents, and arrangements are with Borough to take forward.

TfL aren't able to step in and arrange the meeting directly, but have agreed already with the borough that a meeting can take place, it's just not yet in. TfL is chasing up the borough already on this.

[REDACTED] will update you on progress this afternoon.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2024 8:58 AM
To: [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Cc: [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Thanks [REDACTED]

I'll pick up with LCP colleagues today and get back to you ASAP. I agree just joining a meeting would help

█

From: █ [london.gov.uk](mailto:█@london.gov.uk)>
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2024 4:51 PM
To: █ [london.gov.uk](mailto:█@london.gov.uk)>; █ [london.gov.uk](mailto:█@london.gov.uk)>
Cc: █ [london.gov.uk](mailto:█@london.gov.uk)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hello all,

So, this was what was said at MQT (the budget meeting) in February:

<https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/londonassembly/meetings/ieListDocuments.aspx?CIId=183&MIId=7345>

Nick Rogers AM: Good morning, Mr Mayor. Could you outline, please, how TfL will monitor the implementation of Healthy Streets initiatives funded by your Budget?

Sadiq Khan (Mayor of London): Yes, thank you for your question. TfL works closely with councils, who often are partners in relation to the Healthy Streets work that it does. You will be aware that some monies allocated are allocated to councils through --

Siân Berry AM: Local Implementation Plan (LIP).

Sadiq Khan (Mayor of London): -- LIP money. Thanks, Assembly Member Berry. That goes to councils and TfL works closely with the councils to see how the money is spent, including reviewing during the trial what happens to routes. They will often change what the original plan was on the basis of those meetings between TfL and the council, and the TfL schemes themselves also have reviews in place. Before a scheme becomes permanent, there should be a proper consultation, but there is a team that monitors the work being undertaken in relation to active travel.

Nick Rogers AM: Thank you. That is reassuring to hear. I asked because I recently met with a group of residents in Tolworth in Kingston, who are concerned about how TfL funds are being spent on a scheme in their area. They are concerned that the measures proposed are not enough to deal with the speeding that they are experiencing through residential streets, and they are concerned that the TfL funding that has been allocated so far is being wasted. They are also concerned that TfL views are being misrepresented to them and they would like to meet with TfL officers to talk about that directly. I am mindful of my own limited time left on the Assembly so I am wondering if you could facilitate a meeting with the residents and TfL officers to clear the air and discuss the issues that they are facing.

Sadiq Khan (Mayor of London): Chair, I will undertake to follow that up after this meeting. Can I just help my office? Is it a council scheme or a TfL --

Nick Rogers AM: Yes, it is a Kingston Council scheme.

Sadiq Khan (Mayor of London): If I clarify that, it makes it easier most probably to chase it up. I will chase it up, Chair.

Nick Rogers AM: Thank you very much. Thank you, Chair.

I think given the fact that it was specifically suggested that TfL would need to attend because there was confusion between the borough and TfL, the solution is just for TfL to set up the meeting and attend it. This may not be what they would choose to do if they were contacted directly, but I think it's the solution to this issue.

I take the point about Government Relations not leading on this as it doesn't involve a sitting AM now, but someone over there needs to lead on it.

█ do you think you could work out how to make that happen?

If there is a technical or legal reason why TfL should not meet with the residents directly, that might be a different matter -- we just need to understand

what that is so we can explain that. I don't think the fact that it's a borough scheme is sufficient, as the accusation being made is that TfL money is being misspent.

I think the meeting will put the complaint to bed – if we're happy with that, we can tell [REDACTED] that's happening, and he can go back to the complainant with that before she moves to a formal complaint. I think he will need to go back to her tomorrow.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Senior Adviser to the Mayor, Briefing

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Sent: 29 May 2024 16:34
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] wants to make a complaint and contacted [REDACTED] we have looped in [REDACTED] who will talk to you tomorrow.

If you're not around, we can escalate to [REDACTED]

Thanks

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 29 May 2024 14:07
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

I'm just looping in [REDACTED] from LCP to advise and support with any further response to the member of the public.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 May 2024 10:22
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

We have responded to Nick Rogers' request for TfL to meet with residents on 15 March. During the Budget plenary session in February the AM Rogers asked the Mayor whether it would be possible for the Mayor's office to facilitate a meeting between TfL officers and residents in

Tolworth regarding a healthy streets scheme. [REDACTED] asked us to follow up on this ahead of March MQT. (This is the commitment referenced by the member of their public re the Mayor's commitment)

We looked into this and as this is not a TfL scheme, we agreed with [REDACTED] that we would reply to the (outgoing) AM that it would be for the borough to meet with residents. We will continue to discuss the matter with borough officers and pass on this meeting request during our next meeting.

See the attached email and below extract for what we sent to Nick Rogers.

We have been made aware of your constituent's concerns regarding the Tolworth Healthy Streets scheme that you voiced during Mayor's Question Time in February.

We have regular, ongoing discussions with borough officers at Royal Borough of Kingston on many subjects, including LIP funding and the Tolworth Healthy Streets scheme. As you are aware, this is a borough scheme and communication between the residents and the borough on this is critical. We understand that borough officers have been engaging with the local community on this matter.

We have an upcoming meeting with borough officers in April and we will pass on your concerns and ask if they are able to meet with local residents.

I'm aware this has come back and forth a couple of times, but I don't think there was any mistake about it being a request to meet the Mayor, but this needs to be a response to the member of the public, and this needs reiterate what TfL has shared with the AM. I will ask LCP if this meeting in our reply to the AM took place, and share this team's contact details with you, to support with the reply to the member of the public and the points they have raised.

As Nick Rogers is no longer the AM, and the Conservative office haven't raised this case with us since the GLA election, we don't think it is for the Government Relations team to respond. We would of course pick this up if raised with us by an AM.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Government Relations Officer
11th Floor, Palestra, SE1 8NJ



Pronouns: she/her

GENERAL ELECTION 2024: if you were planning to speak to Government, or have any questions about the General Election, including what activity can take place, please contact the Government Relations team's dedicated working group at elections@tfl.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED] [london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Sent: 28 May 2024 12:24
To: [REDACTED] [@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)> [REDACTED] [@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Cc: [REDACTED] [@tfl.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] [@london.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk)>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

Pasted below, yellow highlight.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 May 2024 12:22
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL

Hi [REDACTED]

We're unable to open this attachment, as it's the em_ type please could you resend?

Many thanks

[REDACTED] | **Communications Officer | Corporate Communications**

Mail: Zone 11G4, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8NJ

Telephone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 May 2024 09:54
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@london.gov.uk>
Subject: SOR: MGLA240524-4215 Re: MGLA280324-9802 Re: F/up Mayor's Q time - request for meeting with TfL
Importance: High

Hi team,

Can someone on your side pick this up please, the initial response to [REDACTED] from us was incorrect as the request was mis-read as a request to meet with the Mayor.

This person wants to meet with TfL.

Could you share a copy of the response with us once sent please.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

Dear Mayor

|

It has now been over 20 days since my email to you on 17 April 2024 which refers to my earlier emails to you on 13 March 2024 and 12 April 2024. I am yet to receive the information I requested. I believe your email stated that I would receive a response within 20 days. It is now passed the 20 days.

|

As per my earlier emails, the Mayor promised at Mayor's Question time in February 2024 that TfL would meet with residents to discuss our concerns about the A3 and the impact of TfL traffic on our residential roads.

Can you please advise contact details of the person / people who we will meet with?

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On 17 Apr 2024, at 11:29, [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Public Liaison Unit

It was not my request, or was the request from Nick Rogers, to meet the Mayor. The request was for the Mayor to nominate someone in TfL who we could meet with. And which he agreed to.

Whilst the Tolworth Healthy Streets scheme is a borough scheme, it is impacted by the A3 - a TfL responsibility. We have been told by our local Councillors and RBK Highways Team that TfL are the problem and are not allowing any changes to our local roads. Therefore our request to meet with representatives from TfL. There is zero evidence that RBK are in fact representing residents concerns adequately to TfL (I say this based on FOI responses) as well as a long history of attempting to work with RBK to solve our local issues.

Therefore I request again that an appropriate person from TfL is made available so we can discuss our concerns. This is what was promised to Nick Rogers during Mayor's Question Time by the Mayor himself.

With thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On 17 Apr 2024, at 10:52, Mayor of London <mayor@london.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your further email requesting to meet with the Mayor.

We are very sorry but unfortunately, it is not possible for the Mayor to consider meeting requests during the pre-election period of the London mayoral election scheduled for 2 May 2024.

The Tolworth Healthy Streets scheme is a borough scheme and we understand that borough officers have been engaging with the local community on this matter. Transport for London (TfL) has regular, ongoing discussions with borough officers at the Royal Borough of Kingston on many subjects, including Local Implementation Plan (LIP) funding and the Tolworth Healthy Streets scheme. A meeting is scheduled between TfL and Kingston officers in April where TfL will pass on your concerns to the borough.

Thank you once again for taking the time to contact the Mayor.

Yours sincerely,

Public Liaison Unit

CAUTION: This email originated from outside this organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mr Mayor

Further to my email below following up on your commitment to a meeting with myself and my neighbours and TfL which you made recently at Mayor's Question Time, I was seeking an update please.

I also attach an article which appeared in our local paper - The Good Life - which you may be interested in, particularly the references to TfL.

My neighbours and I have been attending local Neighbourhood Committee meetings with Councillors for the past 2 years, seeking solutions to our through traffic issue. TfL is being blamed and we don't feel RBK are representing our concerns to TfL. We have little faith in RBK having experienced over 2 years of inaction, lack of decision making, failure to adhere to policy and failure to make data driven decisions.

Again, when can we meet with representatives from TfL as promised by you?

Kind regards

■



■

■

■

On 13 Mar 2024, at 09:15, ■ wrote:

Dear Mr Mayor

Recently at Mayor's question time, Nick Rodgers asked you some questions on our behalf. The questions related to TfL money being spent by Kingston borough.

He also asked you to facilitate a meeting between TfL staff, myself and my neighbours. You agreed to this at the meeting.

Can you please advise when this will take place and please provide my contact details (and those of my neighbours, cc'd above) to the TfL representative so we can organise a meeting.

With thanks



We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

This message has been scanned for malware by Forcepoint. www.forcepoint.com

This message has been scanned for viruses by the Greater London Authority.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>

We are London. Find out about the work of the Mayor, the London Assembly, and the Greater London Authority.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/>